



Institute for  
Interlaboratory Studies

## Results of Proficiency Test Liquefied Butane July 2024

**Organized by:** Institute for Interlaboratory Studies  
Spijkenisse, the Netherlands

**Author:** Mr. R.J. Starink, BSc  
**Correctors:** Mr. M. Meijer, BSc & Mrs. A. Ouwerkerk, BSc  
**Approved by:** Mr. R.J. Starink, BSc

**Report:** iis24S03B

September 2024

**CONTENTS**

1	INTRODUCTION .....	3
2	SET UP .....	3
2.1	QUALITY SYSTEM.....	3
2.2	PROTOCOL.....	3
2.3	CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT .....	4
2.4	SAMPLES .....	4
2.5	STABILITY OF THE SAMPLES.....	5
2.6	ANALYZES .....	5
3	RESULTS .....	5
3.1	STATISTICS .....	6
3.2	GRAPHICS .....	6
3.3	Z-SCORES .....	7
4	EVALUATION .....	7
4.1	EVALUATION PER COMPONENT .....	8
4.2	PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FOR THE GROUP OF LABORATORIES.....	11
4.3	COMPARISON OF THE PROFICIENCY TEST OF JULY 2024 WITH PREVIOUS PTS .....	11
5	DISCUSSION.....	13

## Appendices:

1.	Data, statistical and graphical results .....	14
2.	Number of participants per country.....	45
3.	Abbreviations and literature .....	46

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Since 2009 the Institute for Interlaboratory Studies (iis) organizes a proficiency scheme for the analysis of Liquefied Butane every year. During the annual proficiency testing program of 2024 it was decided to continue the round robin for the analysis of Liquefied Butane. For this round robin a co-operation with EffecTech (Uttoxeter, United Kingdom) was set up because iis has limited gas-handling facilities in place to prepare gas samples. EffecTech is fully equipped and has experience in the preparation of synthetic gas samples for PT purposes.

In this interlaboratory study 57 laboratories in 29 countries registered for participation, see appendix 2 for the number of participants per country. In this report the results of the Liquefied Butane proficiency test are presented and discussed.

## 2 SET UP

The Institute for Interlaboratory Studies (iis) in Spijkenisse, the Netherlands, was the organizer of this proficiency test (PT). To optimize the costs for the participating laboratories it was decided to prepare one Butane Liquefied Gas mixture. The mixture was divided over a batch of 62 cylinders. The cylinder size is a cost-effective one-liter cylinder with dip tube device. Each cylinder was uniquely numbered and labelled #24100. The limited cylinder size is chosen to optimize transport and handling costs.

Sample analyzes for fit-for-use and homogeneity testing were subcontracted to a laboratory that has performed the tests in accordance with for ISO/IEC17043 relevant requirements of ISO/IEC17025.

The participants were requested to report rounded and unrounded test results. The unrounded test results were preferably used for statistical evaluation.

### 2.1 QUALITY SYSTEM

The Institute for Interlaboratory Studies in Spijkenisse, the Netherlands, has implemented a quality system based on ISO/IEC17043:2010. This ensures strict adherence to protocols for sample preparation and statistical evaluation and 100% confidentiality of participant's data.

Feedback from the participants on the reported data is encouraged and customer's satisfaction is measured on regular basis by sending out questionnaires.

EffecTech is accredited in conformance ISO17025:2017 by UKAS (no. 0590).

### 2.2 PROTOCOL

The protocol followed in the organization of this proficiency test was the one as described for proficiency testing in the report 'iis Interlaboratory Studies: Protocol for the Organisation, Statistics and Evaluation' of June 2018 (iis-protocol, version 3.5). This protocol is electronically available through the iis website [www.iisnl.com](http://www.iisnl.com), from the FAQ page.

## 2.3 CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT

All data presented in this report must be regarded as confidential and for use by the participating companies only. Disclosure of the information in this report is only allowed by means of the entire report. Use of the contents of this report for third parties is only allowed by written permission of the Institute for Interlaboratory Studies. Disclosure of the identity of one or more of the participating companies will be done only after receipt of a written agreement of the companies involved.

## 2.4 SAMPLES

One batch of 62 one-liter cylinders with an artificial Liquefied Butane mixture was prepared and tested for homogeneity by EffecTech (Uttoxeter, United Kingdom) in conformance with ISO Guide 35 and ISO/IEC17025 (job 24/0597, starting in April 2024). Each cylinder was uniquely numbered and labelled #24100. Every cylinder in the batch was analyzed using replicate measurements. The within bottle and between bottle variations were assessed in accordance with ISO Guide 35. This evaluation showed that all between bottle variations were small compared to the uncertainties on the reference values on each component.

The repeatabilities were calculated per component and compared with 0.3 times the corresponding reproducibility of the reference test method in agreement with the procedure of ISO13528, Annex B2 in the next table.

Component	r (observed) in %mol/mol	0.3 x R (reference) in %mol/mol	Reference Test method
Propane	0.0087	0.0518	ASTM D2163:23e1
Propene	0.0128	0.1057	ASTM D2163:23e1
iso-Butane	0.0479	0.2801	ASTM D2163:23e1
n-Butane	0.0124	0.0784	ASTM D2163:23e1
1-Butene	0.0066	0.0693	ASTM D2163:23e1
iso-Butene	0.0079	0.0757	ASTM D2163:23e1
trans-2-Butene	0.0073	0.0653	ASTM D2163:23e1
cis-2-Butene	0.0255	0.0906	ASTM D2163:23e1
1,3-Butadiene	0.0037	0.0428	ASTM D2163:23e1
iso-Pentane	0.0086	0.0145	ASTM D2163:23e1

Table 1: evaluation of the repeatabilities of subsamples #24100

The calculated repeatabilities are in agreement with 0.3 times the corresponding reproducibility of the reference test method. Therefore, homogeneity of the subsamples was assumed.

To each of the participating laboratories one 1-liter cylinder labelled #24100 was sent on June 5, 2024. An SDS was added to the sample package.

## 2.5 STABILITY OF THE SAMPLES

EffecTech (Uttoxeter, United Kingdom) declares that the prepared gas cylinders have a shelf life of at least 6 months. This is sufficient for the proficiency testing purposes.

## 2.6 ANALYZES

The participants were requested to determine: Total of composition, Propane, Propene, iso-Butane, n-Butane, 1-Butene, iso-Butene, trans-2-Butene, cis-2-Butene, 1,3-Butadiene, n-Pentane, iso-Pentane, Molar Mass, Relative Density at 60/60 °F, Absolute and Relative Vapor Pressure at 100 °F (in psi) and at 40 °C (in kPa), Motor Octane Number (MON), Ideal Gross Heating Value and Ideal Net Heating Value both at 14.696 psia and 60 °F. It was also requested to report the factors used to calculate the Motor Octane Number (MON).

It was explicitly requested to treat the sample as if it was a routine sample and to report the test results using the indicated units on the report form and not to round the test results, but report as much significant figures as possible. It was also requested not to report 'less than' test results, which are above the detection limit, because such test results cannot be used for meaningful statistical evaluations.

To get comparable test results a detailed report form and a letter of instructions are prepared. On the report form the reporting units are given as well as the reference test methods (when applicable) that will be used during the evaluation. The detailed report form and the letter of instructions are both made available on the data entry portal [www.kpmd.co.uk/sgs-iis/](http://www.kpmd.co.uk/sgs-iis/). The participating laboratories are also requested to confirm the sample receipt on this data entry portal. The letter of instructions can also be downloaded from the iis website [www.iisnl.com](http://www.iisnl.com).

## 3 RESULTS

During five weeks after sample dispatch, the test results of the individual laboratories were gathered via the data entry portal [www.kpmd.co.uk/sgs-iis/](http://www.kpmd.co.uk/sgs-iis/). The reported test results are tabulated per determination in appendix 1 of this report. The laboratories are presented by their code numbers.

Directly after the deadline, a reminder was sent to those laboratories that had not reported test results at that moment. Shortly after the deadline, the available test results were screened for suspect data. A test result was called suspect in case the Huber Elimination Rule (a robust outlier test) found it to be an outlier. The laboratories that produced these suspect data were asked to check the reported test results (no reanalyzes). Additional or corrected test results are used for data analysis and the original test results are placed under 'Remarks' in the result tables in appendix 1. Test results that came in after the deadline were not taken into account in this screening for suspect data and thus these participants were not requested for checks.

### 3.1 STATISTICS

The protocol followed in the organization of this proficiency test was the one as described for proficiency testing in the report 'iis Interlaboratory Studies: Protocol for the Organisation, Statistics and Evaluation' of June 2018 (iis-protocol, version 3.5).

For the statistical evaluation the *unrounded* (when available) figures were used instead of the rounded test results. Test results reported as '<...>' or '>...>' were not used in the statistical evaluation.

First, the normality of the distribution of the various data sets per determination was checked by means of the Lilliefors-test, a variant of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and by the calculation of skewness and kurtosis. Evaluation of the three normality indicators in combination with the visual evaluation of the graphic Kernel density plot, lead to judgement of the normality being either 'unknown', 'OK', 'suspect' or 'not OK'. After removal of outliers, this check was repeated. If a data set does not have a normal distribution, the (results of the) statistical evaluation should be used with due care.

The assigned value is determined by consensus based on the test results of the group of participants after rejection of the statistical outliers and/or suspect data.

According to ISO13528 all (original received or corrected) results per determination were submitted to outlier tests. In the iis procedure for proficiency tests, outliers are detected prior to calculation of the mean, standard deviation and reproducibility. For small data sets, Dixon (up to 20 test results) or Grubbs (up to 40 test results) outlier tests can be used. For larger data sets (above 20 test results) Rosner's outlier test can be used. Outliers are marked by D(0.01) for the Dixon's test, by G(0.01) or DG(0.01) for the Grubbs' test and by R(0.01) for the Rosner's test. Stragglers are marked by D(0.05) for the Dixon's test, by G(0.05) or DG(0.05) for the Grubbs' test and by R(0.05) for the Rosner's test. Both outliers and stragglers were not included in the calculations of averages and standard deviations.

For each assigned value the uncertainty was determined in accordance with ISO13528. Subsequently the calculated uncertainty was evaluated against the respective requirement based on the target reproducibility in accordance with ISO13528. In this PT, the criterion of ISO13528, paragraph 9.2.1. was met for all evaluated tests. Therefore, the uncertainty of all assigned values may be negligible and need not be included in the PT report.

Finally, the reproducibilities were calculated from the standard deviations by multiplying them with a factor of 2.8.

### 3.2 GRAPHICS

In order to visualize the data against the reproducibilities from literature, Gauss plots were made, using the sorted data for one determination (see appendix 1). On the Y-axis the reported test results are plotted. The corresponding laboratory numbers are on the X-axis. The straight horizontal line presents the consensus value (a trimmed mean). The four striped lines, parallel to the consensus value line, are the +3s, +2s, -2s and -3s target reproducibility limits of the selected reference test method. Outliers and other data, which were excluded from the calculations, are represented as a cross. Accepted data are represented as a triangle.

Furthermore, Kernel Density Graphs were made. This is a method for producing a smooth density approximation to a set of data that avoids some problems associated with histograms. Also, a normal Gauss curve (dotted line) was projected over the Kernel Density Graph (smooth line) for reference. The Gauss curve is calculated from the consensus value and the corresponding standard deviation.

### 3.3 Z-SCORES

To evaluate the performance of the participating laboratories the z-scores were calculated. As it was decided to evaluate the performance of the participants in this proficiency test (PT) against the literature requirements (derived from e.g. ISO or ASTM test methods), the z-scores were calculated using a target standard deviation. This results in an evaluation independent of the variation in this interlaboratory study.

The target standard deviation was calculated from the literature reproducibility by division with 2.8. In case no literature reproducibility was available, other target values were used, like Horwitz or an estimated reproducibility based on former iis proficiency tests.

When a laboratory did use a test method with a reproducibility that is significantly different from the reproducibility of the reference test method used in this report, it is strongly advised to recalculate the z-score, while using the reproducibility of the actual test method used, this in order to evaluate whether the reported test result is fit-for-use.

The z-scores were calculated according to:

$$z_{(\text{target})} = (\text{test result} - \text{average of PT}) / \text{target standard deviation}$$

The  $z_{(\text{target})}$  scores are listed in the test result tables in appendix 1.

Absolute values for  $z < 2$  are very common and absolute values for  $z > 3$  are very rare. Therefore, the usual interpretation of z-scores is as follows:

	$ z  < 1$	good
1 <	$ z  < 2$	satisfactory
2 <	$ z  < 3$	questionable
3 <	$ z $	unsatisfactory

End of preview of this report, requests to obtain the full report can be sent to [nl.iis@sgs.com](mailto:nl.iis@sgs.com)

Preview

Address: Malledijk 18, P.O. Box 200, 3200 AE Spijkenisse, The Netherlands  
Telephone number: +31 (0)88 214 45 41  
Email address: [nl.iis@sgs.com](mailto:nl.iis@sgs.com)  
Website: [www.iisnl.com](http://www.iisnl.com)

Institute for Interlaboratory Studies is a full member of SGS Nederland B.V. and registered at the Chamber of Commerce under number: 24226722. Unless otherwise agreed, all orders are executed in accordance with the SGS general conditions.